

CIVIL COVER SHEET

18 1325

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS YONG LI (b) County of Residence of (E.	of First Listed Plaintiff CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	OUEENS SES)	Fam Fam Zha	nily Garden Zha o Zhang, "Jane unty of Residence	Inc. d/b/a I ang, Happ e Doe", an of First List (IN U.S. P.	Family Garden by Family Chine d Dexing Gao ed Defendant	Berks	ni Wei H	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2) Yong Li, Pro Se 3915 Main Street, Flushi	·		At	torneys (If Known)					
_	ng, iti 1100+- (710) s	09-000							
SUITE 318									
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPA	AL PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citizen of Th		rf def 1 □ 1	Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		PTF 4	DEF
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of An	other State 🛛	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and I of Business In		5	□ 5
			Citizen or Su Foreign Co			Foreign Nation		1 6	0 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						here for: Nature of			
CONTRACT		RTS		URE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY	OTHER		ES -
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marinc ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice ■ CIVIC RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 442 Employment	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate	of Pro 690 Other 690 Other	ABOR abor Standards /Management ions ay Labor Act / and Medical	423 With 28 U PROPE) 820 Copy 830 Pater 840 Trade 841 Trade 862 Black 864 SSID 865 RSI (870 Taxe 870 Taxe 870 Taxe 871 IRS—	RECURITY Abbreviated Drug Application emark SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI (405(g)) ALTAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant) —Third Party	480 Consum 490 Cable/S: 850 Securitic Exchan 890 Other S! 891 Agricult 893 Environ 895 Freedon Act 896 Arbitrat 899 Adminis	a (31 USC) apportionr t and Banking retion er Influenc Organizati et TV ss/Commo ge atutory Ac ural Acts mental Mat a of Inform iterative Pre- iew or App	eed and dities/
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 555 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement	☐ 462 Natural			ISC 7609	950 Constitu State Sta		f
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)								
□ 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from 3 te Court	Appellate Court	☐ 4 Reinstated (Reopened	Anothe	er District	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer	ı -	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	n -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION		tute under which you as seq use: ertime and provide			tutes unless di	iversity):			
	Failure to pay ove	ertime and provide							
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	N DEMAN	D \$		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:		complain X No	ıt:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE 03/01/2018		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF REC	ORD	Plaintiff	, Pro Se	ma	2-1	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		111	•			$\overline{}$	7	-	
RECEIPT # AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE		



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

v.

NO. 18 1325

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	
(718) 880-0475			.,,,,,,,,
Date	Pr	o Se Plaintiff	
3-26-2018	Yonge	ر ـ	
(f) Standard Management – C	Cases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(N)
	ses that do not fall into track omplex and that need specia le of this form for a detailed	l or intense management by	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvin exposure to asbestos.	g claims for personal injury	or property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration - Cases requir	ed to be designated for arbiti	ration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(b) Social Security - Cases re and Human Services deny	questing review of a decisioning plaintiff Social Security		()
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases br	ought under 28 U.S.C. § 222	11 through § 2255.	()

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Case

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

18

1325

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA -- DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. 39-15 Main Street #318 Address of Plaintiff: Perkiomen Ave., Reading, PA 19602 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 1701 Perkiomen Ave Reading (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) No Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? Yes□ RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: __ Judge Date Terminated: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a parent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? No CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: A. Federal Question Cases: 1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2.

Airplane Personal Injury 2. D FELA 3. □ Assault, Defamation 3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. □ Marine Personal Injury 4. □ Antitrust 5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 5. □ Patent 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 6. □ Labor-Management Relations 7. Products Liability 7.

Civil Rights 8.

Habeas Corpus 8.

Products Liability -- Asbestos 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases 9. □ All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. All other Federal Question Cases
(Please specify) FLSA 29 USC \$ 201 et se ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check Appropriate Category) counsel of record do hereby certify: □ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought. DATE: Pro Se Plaintiff NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

Pro Se Plaintiff

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

DATE: <u>3-26-</u>2018

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA U.S. COURTHOUSE INDEPENDENCE MALL WEST 601 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA. 19106-1797

March 13, 2018

-	\mathbf{r}	. •	. •		
Dear	\mathbf{P}_{ℓ}	2†1	tı.	Λn	er:

Yong Li 39-15 Main Street #318 Flushing, NY 11354

Compl	aint(s) / Appeal(s) - Missing the following:
	() Civil Cover Sheet
	(X) Designation Form
	(X) Case Management Track Designation Form
	() Full Filing Fee / IFP Statement 1.) Complaint Fee - \$400.00 2.) Appeal Fee - \$505.00 3.) Habeas Fee - \$5.00
	() Claim for relief

Other:

Regarding: In order for the U.S. District Court to process your Complaint the enclosed Designation form and Case Management Track form must be completed in their entirety, including checking off ONLY ONE BOX in the highlighted areas.

Sincerely,

KATE BARKMAN Clerk of Court

Encl:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA U.S. COURTHOUSE INDEPENDENCE MALL WEST 601 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA. 19106-1797

March 13, 2018

T >	D. C.	. •	
1)ear	Pefii	tioner	١

Yong Li 39-15 Main Street #318 Flushing, NY 11354

Compla	aint(s) / Appeal(s) - Missing the following:
(() Civil Cover Sheet
((X) Designation Form
((X) Case Management Track Designation Form
	() Full Filing Fee / IFP Statement 1.) Complaint Fee - \$400.00 2.) Appeal Fee - \$505.00 3.) Habeas Fee - \$5.00
(() Claim for relief

Other:

Regarding: In order for the U.S. District Court to process your Complaint the enclosed Designation form and Case Management Track form must be completed in their entirety, including checking off ONLY ONE BOX in the highlighted areas.

Sincerely,

KATE BARKMAN Clerk of Court

Encl:





March 9, 2018

Clerk of Court
United States District Court – Eastern District of Pennsylvania
601 Market Street, Room 2609
Philadelphia, PA 19106

Re: Li v. Family Garden II, Inc. d/b/a Family Garden Chinese Restaurant

Dear Sir/Madam:

I am filing a complaint against Family Garden II, Inc. and others. Enclosed please find an original and copies of Summons and Complaint for each defendant. I am also enclosing copies for myself, once the Court stamps it and issues a case number.

I would like to have access to the electronic filing system for this case. I would greatly appreciate your guidance as to how I go about making this case an electronically filing case, because it would make it much easier for everyone.

Thank you for your kind assistance and attention.

Sincerely yours,

Ýona Lí

JFL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

YONG LI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

FAMILY GARDEN II, INC. d/b/a Family Garden Chinese Restaurant, FAMILY GARDEN ZHANG, HAPPY FAMILY CHINESE REST., ZHI WEI HE, ZHAO ZHANG, "JANE DOE", and DEXING GAO,

Defendants

Civil Action No.:

18

1325

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Yong Li (hereinafter "Li" or "Plaintiff"), pro se, as and for his Complaint against the Defendants FAMILY GARDEN II, INC. dba Family Garden Chinese Restaurant, (hereinafter "Family Garden II"), FAMILY GARDEN ZHANG (hereinafter "Garden Shang"), HAPPY FAMILY CHINESE REST. (hereinafter "Happy Family"), ZHI WEI HE (hereinafter "Defendant He"), ZHAO ZHANG (hereinafter "Defendant Zhang"), "JANE DOE", whose true name Plaintiff will seek to ascertain through appropriate discovery, and DEXING GAO (hereinafter "Defendant Gao"), (collectively "Defendants"), alleges the following:

NATURE OF ACTION

1. This action is brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act (hereinafter referred to as "FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §201 et seq.; 29 U.S.C. §206, 207 and 216(b); the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA"), 43 P.S. §333.101 et seq.; the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law ("WPCL"), 43 P.S. §260.1 et seq.; and Pennsylvania common law, to recover unpaid wages and overtime compensation owed to Plaintiff who was formerly employed by and worked at the restaurant operating under the name "Family Garden Chinese Restaurant" located and doing business at 1701 Perkiomen Avenue, Reading, PA 19602, that is

owned, operated and/or managed by Defendants Family Garden II, Inc., Garden Zhang, Happy Family, Defendant He, Defendant Zhang, Defendant "Jane Doe" a/k/a "Lili", and Defendant Gao, and Plaintiff has been subject to the unlawful practices detailed herein.

- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendants have willfully and intentionally engaged in flagrant violations of the FLSA by engaging in a pattern and practice of failing to pay their employees, including Plaintiff, compensation for all hours worked and overtime compensation for all hours worked over forty (40) each workweek at the rate of 1.5 times regular rate of pay.
- 3. Plaintiff alleges pursuant to the FLSA, that he is entitled to recover from Defendants: (1) unpaid wages, (2) overtime wages, (3) liquidated damages, (4) prejudgment and post-judgment interest; (5) costs; and attorneys' fees, if any.
- 4. Plaintiff does not presently know the true name of Defendant sued as "Jane Doe." Plaintiff alleges that she is the spouse of Defendant Zhi Wei He. Plaintiff knew her as "Lili" or "Boss' Wife." She is a co-owner who supervised plaintiff, paid his wages in cash, and had the ability to hire and fire him. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this Complaint once her identity becomes known to Plaintiff. Plaintiff further alleges that at all relevant times Defendant "Jane Doe" ("Lili" or "Boss' Wife") was an officer, director and owner of Family Garden, Family Garden Zhang and Happy Family, and that she made it explicitly clear to Plaintiff that she was his employer.
- 5. At all relevant times, all of the named defendants were directors and owners of the restaurant where Plaintiff worked; they each and all shared authority over Plaintiff's work and paid his wages; and all the defendants represented themselves to be Plaintiff's employers. Further, that Family Garden II, Garden Zhang and Happy Family were a joint enterprise under the same management and ownership.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Court has original Federal Question jurisdiction over this controversy pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §201 et seq. and §216(b), and 28 U.S.C. §1331. Moreover, this Court has original jurisdiction of this civil action under 28 U.S.C. §1332(a) because the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and is between citizens of different states. The Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of the State of New York, and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(c)(1), the corporate defendant Family Garden II is deemed to be a citizen of the State of Pennsylvania, where incorporated and where it has its principal place of business.
- 5. Further, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367(a) because those claims are so related to claims in the action within the Court's original jurisdiction that they form part of the same case or controversy.
- 5. There is no conflict between the applicable laws of Pennsylvania and the FLSA, as the relevant state statutes at issue either provide rights identical to or track the language of FLSA.

VENUE

6. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(ii) because a substantial part of the acts or omissions giving rise to the claims alleged in this action occurred within this judicial district, and Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this district.

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff

9. Plaintiff Yong Li is an individual residing in the State of New York who has performed labor for the Defendants' restaurants in Pennsylvania.

Defendants

- 10. Family Garden II, Inc. d/b/a Family Garden Chinese Restaurant is an active Pennsylvania business corporation since 12/20/2007, with a principal place of business at 1701 Perkiomen Ave., Reading, PA 19602, whose President is Defendant Dexing Gao. This is the address at which Plaintiff worked.
- 11. Defendant Family Garden Zhang was incorporated under the laws of Pennsylvania with an address at 1701 Perkiomer [sic] Ave., Reading, PA 19602, which filing was subsequently cancelled. However, in Plaintiff's W2's, it is this Defendant who appears as the Payee.
- 12. Defendant Happy Family Chinese Rest. is a business registered with the Pennsylvania Secretary of State with an address at 632 Greenwich Street, Reading, PA 19601. Upon information and belief, this Defendant is owned and managed by same individual Defendants.
- 13. Defendant Zhi Wei He is an owner and manager of the corporate defendants.
- 14. Defendant Zhao Zhang is an owner and/or manager of the corporate defendants.
- 15. Defendant "Jane Doe" is the spouse of Defendant Zhi Wei He. Plaintiff knew her as "Lili," or "Boss' Wife." She was an owner and manager of the corporate defendants, and managed the Plaintiff's employment at the location where Plaintiff worked for Defendants.
- 16. Defendant Dexing Gao is named by the Pennsylvania Secretary of State as the President of corporate defendant Family Garden II, Inc.
- 17. Defendants engage in interstate commerce, and/or handle, sell, or work on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for interstate commerce.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Defendants' annual gross volume of sales is not less than \$500,00000.

FACTS

- 19. Plaintiff worked for the Defendants from April 1, 2007 to August 30, 2016 as a Kitchen Helper. His duties included general kitchen help (chopping vegetables, cleaning, deliveries, loading and unloading trucks at the warehouse, and miscellaneous tasks).
- 20. Plaintiff's wages consisted of \$2,300.00 per month. This never changed throughout Plaintiff's employment by Defendants.
- 21. Plaintiff's hours were the following:

Monday – Thursday: 11 Å.M. – 11 P.M. (12 hours); Friday and Saturday: 11 Å.M. – 12 Mid. (13 hours); Sunday: 12 P.M. – 11 P.M. (11 hours).

NO BREAKS.

- 22. Plaintiff used his own car and insurance to make deliveries. In early 2015, Plaintiff had a car accident while making a delivery for Defendants. It was a hit-and-run, and Plaintiff absorbed all repair and car rental costs in excess of \$4,000, without reimbursement.
- 23. On August 30, 2016, Plaintiff requested a vacation [he had not had time off in over nine (9) years, and Defendants granted a two-month <u>unpaid</u> vacation. When Plaintiff returned on or about October 30, 2016, Defendants terminated his employment for no reason. But for Defendants' promise that Plaintiff would have his job upon his return, Plaintiff would not have taken the vacation.
- 24. Plaintiff worked for Defendants 74 hours each week, or 34 hours overtime weekly.
- 25. Beginning about April, 2007 and continuing to at least September 2016, Defendants have failed to provide the statutory hourly wage to its employees as required by federal and state law.

- 26. Beginning prior to April 2007 and continuing to at least September 2016, Defendants have engaged in a policy and practice of requiring their employees to regularly work in excess of forty (40) hours per week, without providing overtime compensation as required by law.
- 27. Under the direction of the corporate and individual defendants, Defendants instituted this practice of depriving Plaintiff of compensation, including overtime wages, for all work as mandated by federal and state law.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Defendants He, Zhang, Doe and Gao are and have been throughout the relevant times herein the Managing Directors and/or owners of Defendants' restaurants, and (a) had the power t hire and fire employees for those entities; (b) supervised and controlled employee work schedules or conditions of employment for Defendants' restaurants; (c) determined the rat and method of payment for the restaurant employees; and (d) maintained employment records for the corporate entities and restaurants named in this action.
- 29. Defendants FAMILY GARDEN II, GARDEN ZHANG, HAPPY FAMILY, Defendant HE, Defendant ZHANG, Defendant "JANE DOE", and Defendant GAO are each employers within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 30. Upon information and belief, the named Defendants each had complete control of the alleged activities of the restaurants which give rise to the claims brought herein.
- 31. Upon information and belief, Defendants each and all have willfully disregarded and purposefully evaded recordkeeping requirements of the FLSA and applicable Pennsylvania State law, and the supporting regulations, by failing to maintain proper and complete timesheets or payroll records.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS

32. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the preceding allegations.

33. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 207, "no employer shall employ any of his employees who in any

workweek is engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or is employed

in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, for a

workweek longer than forty hours unless such employee receives compensation for his

employment in excess of the hours above specified at a rate not less than one and one-half times

the regular rate at which he is employed."

34. Defendants failed to pay the Plaintiff overtime wages at the rate of one and one-half

times the regular rate of pay, for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in any workweek.

35. Upon information and belief, the failure of Defendants to pay the Plaintiff his rightfully

owed overtime compensation was willful.

36. By the foregoing reasons, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in an amount to be

determined at trial, plus liquidated damages in the amount equal to the amount of unpaid wages,

interest, attorneys' fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment:

(1) On the first cause of action against Defendants, in an amount to be determined at

trial, plus liquidated damages in the amount equal to the amount of unpaid wages, interest,

attorneys' fees if any, and costs;

(2) Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: February 20, 2018

Yong Li, Plaintiff Pro Se

39-15 Main Street - Suite 318

Flushing, NY 11354

(718) 880-0475

I, Yong Li, the Plaintiff in this action, declare under penalty of perjury that the statements in the foregoing Complaint are true to the best of my knowledge.

Yong Li